1	Gary S. Lincenberg – State Bar No. 123058 glincenberg@birdmarella.com Ariel A. Neuman – State Bar No. 241594 aneuman@birdmarella.com Gopi K. Panchapakesan – State Bar No. 279586	
2		
3		
4	gpanchapakesan@birdmarella.com BIRD, MARELLA, BOXER, WOLPERT	, NESSIM,
5	DROOKS, LINCENBERG & RHOW, P.     1875    Century Park East. 23rd Floor	Ĉ.
6	Los Angeles, California 90067-2561 Telephone: (310) 201-2100	
7	Facsimile: (310) 201-2110	
8	Attorneys for Claimant John Brunst	
	HNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION	
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION
11		
12	In the Matter of the Seizure of:	CASE NO. 2:18-cv-06742-RGK-PJW
13	Any and all funds held in Republic Bank of Arizona Account(s) xxxx1889,	CLAIMANT JOHN BRUNST'S JOINDER IN JAMES LARKIN'S
14	xxxx2592, xxxx1938, xxxx2912, and xxxx2500.	MOTION TO ACCESS AND USE PURPORTEDLY
15	AAAA2500.	INADVERTENTLY PRODUCED MATERIALS
16		Date: October 22, 2018
17		Time: 9:00 A.M. Crtrm.: 850
18		Assigned to Hon. R. Gary Klausner
19		·
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3522571.2	

## 1 TO THE COURT AND ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Claimant John Brunst, by and through his 2 counsel, will and hereby does join in James Larkin's Motion to Access and Use 3 Purportedly Inadvertently Produced Materials (the "Motion") [D.E. 59], and adopts 4 5 all of the positions set forth in the Motion as if fully set forth herein. Mr. Brunst joins in the Motion because he is "so similarly situated [to 6 Mr. Larkin] that filing an independent motion would be redundant." Tatung Co., 7 Ltd. v. Shu Tze Hsu, 217 F. Supp. 3d 1138, 1151 (C.D. Cal. 2016). Like Mr. Larkin, 8 9 Mr. Brunst holds an interest in assets that were seized pursuant to civil seizure warrants issued by the magistrate judges in this District, and which are the subject of 10 Mr. Larkin's Motion to Vacate or Modify Seizure Warrants (the "Seizure Motion") 11 [D.E. 6]. The Motion raises issues that are directly relevant to and overlap with the 12 13 seizures of Mr. Brunst's assets. All of the arguments made in the Motion therefore apply with equal force to the seizure warrants issued against the assets in which 14 15 Mr. Brunst holds an interest. DATED: September 21, 2018 Respectfully submitted, 16 17 Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert, Nessim, Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow, P.C. 18 19 20 By: /s/ Gary S. Lincenberg 21 Gary S. Lincenberg Attorneys for Claimant John Brunst 22 23 24 On August 6, 2018, Mr. Brunst filed a joinder in the Seizure Motion as to the three seizure warrants that purport to seize assets in which Mr. Brunst holds an interest. D.E. 9. Counsel understands that these three warrants, along with the 14 26 other seizure warrants that purport to seize funds derived from Backpage.com advertisements that allegedly solicited prostitution, have been consolidated with the 27 above-captioned seizure warrant, and were subsequently transferred from Magistrate

Judge Walsh to District Judge Klausner as a new civil action. D.E. 14.

28